# A Comparison of Algorithms for Hypertext Notes Network Linearisation

MIKE HA LE

## 2. Hypertext linearisation

r ere are two ( a n occas ons w ere ypertext / near sat on can be of use n support n a reader brows n t rou a ypertext database[ and n prov d n a vers on of a ypertext n near for -

#### 2.1 Supporting browsing

A reader brows n t rou a ypertext | ay not a ways w s to cloose[or be able to | al el an nfor | ed c o ce of w c path to for the ypertext d splay w in typ carry s ow t e nal es of the successor nodes[but w in not tend the reader whether a int is | portant[nor whether the reads to a | a or new part of the network for ust to a dead end A mean sation al or the could provide a default i near path for the ypertext reader[selected accord n to criter a such as the readers; interests for exal pre[ a path contain n is closen top cs [ the readers; ab is the form exal pre[ a path contain n is a explanations and d ressions | atcled to the readers; interest of the work of the such as a such as the readers and the ressons is the readers; and the readers are the such as the readers and the ressons is a contain the readers; and the readers are the readers and the ressons is a contain the readers; and the ressons is the readers are the readers and the ressons is a contain the readers are the readers and the ressons is a contain the readers and the readers are the readers and the readers are the reader

### 2.2 Hypertext to linear text

 $f^{r}$  ere are [ any reasons to pr nt out a copy of a ypertext database[suc as to c ecr t for col p eteness[ to prov de a paper copy n a report or d ssertation[ or to produce a bool vers on of a ypertext reference word  $f^{-}$  r e pr nted vers on [ ay st  $f^{-}$  nd cate t e  $f^{-}$  nd's between nodes as convent on a text references[ but t e ypertext [ ust be  $f^{-}$  near sed to ft t e for at of a pr nted word [ wt pa es contated nto sequent a order [ A s [ p/e a or t [ [ suc  $f^{-}$  s for sole t e nodes n order of t [ e of creat on [ or n a p abet c order [ ay be acceptable for sole e purposes[ but ot ers[ suc as produce n a pr nted reference bool wt a preferred  $f^{-}$  near read n  $[f^{-}$  ay require a  $f^{-}$  ore sop st cated  $f^{-}$  near sat on [

L near texts ave served as  $t e \mid an \mid eans of storn and trans ttn inow/ed e over t e past f ve t ousand years and t ere s no s n t at printed bools[] a az nes and ourna's are about to be superseded—For [] ost purposes[t ere s no need to present t e reader w t a ypertext writters want to be n control of t e order n w c a text s read[] and readers are content to be carried a on by a narrative frow—$ 

Xerox NoteCards /r <2 Ir s [ s a col puter based ] p/el entat on of a notes networ/ A user can/branstor = deas[ wr te eac one on a s | u ated f e card[ and /n/ t e cards to et er rap cary on t e screen / wo or | ore people can wor/ wt t e sal e set of

dur n t e creat on of t e network Infor at on potent any available to t e a or t i not uses node nal es[node contents[/n/ nal es[ connect v ty[t] e of creat on and spat a ayout

## It should be deterministic

r e order of rear sat on s ourd be fury deter ned by t ernfor at on contained in t e networf not by t e process n prortes of t e pro ral i n ran ua e

# It should produce a linearisation which is acceptable to a human writer.

A 'near sat on a' or t ' differs fro' a searc a' or t ' n t at t e cr ter on for success s not to reac a spec f ed oa but to produce an order of nodes w c ' eets t expectat ons of a reader<sup>-</sup> A co erent /easy= narrat ve /eads t e reader onwards/by for own; trans of assoc at on t at resonate wt t e readers exper ence<sup>-/</sup> us[ t for ows t at a / near sat on a' or t ' can only be ud ed by subject ve cr ter a<sup>-</sup>

# 3. Descriptions of the algorithms

 $r^{r}$  e experients described nt signapper collipare two affort is for ypertext / near sation— Bot affort is satisfy the required ents above[lapart from the / determining near satisfy the required ents above[lapart from the / determining near section of the / determining near the satisfy the required ents the satisfy the required ents above[lapart from the / determining near section of the / determining near section can be employed to ensure the affort of the satisfy the required ents is a state the experimental entry of the result of the r

Bot a or t  $\mid$  s can be  $\mid$  p e' ented to run n t  $\mid$  e O n I w ere n st e nul ber of nodes n t e networ and I st e  $\mid$  ean nul ber of n s fro eac node At ou I w n depend on

node are nouded before ar e ones -F s extens on was not p/e ented for t e exper ents described be ow-



F nd a untravezzed n/s fro eac node n LINEA I ED e ove eac n/ fro t e rap -

# -Mer et e n's wt O EN[ owest value n' to t e front-

a<sup>-</sup>If t ere are two or [ ore cand date [ n/s w t ] t e sa[ e value[ t en put t e] on O EN n order of t e s ze of sub rap [ ead n fro[ t e [ n/[ ] ar est sub rap to t e front [ [ ] r e s ze of t e sub rap s calculated on t e pruned rap [ wt nodes a ready on LINEA I ED re[ oved [

b<sup>-</sup>If t ere are two or | ore cand date | n/s wt t e sale varue[ and sale size of sub rap [t en put t e] on O EN n order of t e varue of t e | n/ | read n to t e node frolow c t e | n/s depart / owest varue to t e front -

c-If t ere are two or [ ore cand date [ n/s] wt t e sal e value[s ze of sub rap [ and value of ncol n [ n/] t en put t e on O EN n order of t e d stance of t e [ n/ frol t e start node furt est frol t e start node to t e front -

d-If t ere are two or [ or [ or [ or [ and [ and one or [ or e s a ready on O EN[t en put any new [ n s n front of t e one s a ready on O EN-

e<sup>-</sup>If t ere are st d two or l or cand date d n is t en put t e on O EN n so e order deter ned by nfor at on conta ned n t e networ is suc as t e t l e t e node was created -

-If O EN se pty and not a nodes ave been reloved frol t e rap [t en reverse a t e rel a n n n s n t e rap -Go to  $\frac{1}{2^{-1}}$ 

-If O EN s e pty t en stop-

- e ovet e natt e front of O EN-

-Ca/t e node fro<br/> w c t s / n/ departs t e FOC NODE and t e node to w c t e / n/ po nts t e CCE O NODE-

-If te CCE O NODE sarready on LINEA I ED ten o to -

-Add t e CCE O NODE to LINEA I ED n post on [ ] ed ate y after t e FOC NODE-

-Fnd a untrave ded not for the CCE O NODE-!-Go to -

#### Figure 4. The Best First algorithm

r e eur st cs are des ned to favour t e c o ce of pror ty / n/s w c /ead to or frol / ar er and t erefore | ore / e y to be | portant sub parts of t e networ/ Heur st c a requ res t e s ze of t e sub rap frol a node to be col puted[ but t e col putat on can be bounded wt out s n f canty affect n t e operation of t e a or t | -L ne arows for network's w ere solve nodes cannot be reac ed due to t e d rect on of t e / n/s<sup>-</sup> It s needed because occas onary a subject connected a cluster of nodes to t e | a n network wt a / n/ n/ t e reverse d rect on<sup>-</sup> Add n ar reac able nodes to t e / near / st and t en revers n ar t e rel a n n / n/s as t e effect of nc ud n t e rel a n n nodes n t e / near sat on[ but at ow pr or ty<sup>-</sup>

/ e best first a ort i overcoi es t e part cu ar proble s of t e / c i b n a ort i [ produc n a near sat on of a d b c e f for t e networ? n F ure ! [ and a d b c e f for t e networf n F ure , It also as t e advanta e of f n t e LINEA I ED st n order of n'pr or ty[ so t at[ by v n a cut off value for t e n'pr or ty[ t can f ter out parts of t e ypertext networf reta n n on y t ose nodes on [ a n pat s<sup>-</sup>

# 3.4 The algorithms in operation,

For ve an exal preof t e a or t i s n operat on [F ure s ows a si a notes networ, produced by a writer on t e top c of/Can col puters t  $n_{c} = A$  or t i bu/ds up t e near sed st n t e order s own n F ure -

a a h a h g a h g i a h g i j a h g i j k a h g i j k f a h g i j k f b a h g i j k f b c a h g i j k f b c d a h g i j k f b c d e

Figure 5. Order of nodes produced by the hillclimbing algorithm for the network in Figure 4

F e near sed text correspond n to t e f na order of nodes s as follows

Can computers think

Computers may be able to think in non human ways.

Some people suggest that it is nonsense to talk of machines thinking.

_f filling the.hs	r mC.S4	T _ TL T	w So <b>4444</b> _c .	Тс	Tw _f fiTL	Tc,_TL	Tc
<del>\</del> \		4	4		<u>k</u>	í 😽	Ý 😽

A or t [ creates t e near sed st n t e order s own n F ure [ and t e f na order of nodes produces t e near text be ow

Can computers think

Computers may be able to think in non human ways.

Some people suggest computers can think like humans.

Turing suggested an operational definition of thinking.

The Turing Test is a way of discovering whether machines can reason, by means of a conversational game.

This is reminiscent of behaviourist psychology.

Some people suggest that it is nonsense to talk of machines thinking.

Searle argues that machines do not have intentionality.

Machines have syntax but no semantics.

Machine thought is impossible in principle.

Turing suggested that machines would soon be able to compete with humans at intellectual reasoning.

r e order n of a or t i i prov des a i ore praus bre frai eworf for a near text[w c t e wr ter could t en fres out wt connect n p rases to create a f rst draft

### Can computers think?

Col puters | ay be able to t ni n non ul an ways[ but sol e people su est col puters can t ni let ul ans-leur n su ested an operat onal definition of t ni n - re leur n lest s a way of d scover n w et er l ac nes can reason[ by leans of a conversational al e-r s s rel n scent of be av our st psyc of y-However, sol e people su est t at t s nonsense to take of l ac nes t ni n - earle ar uest at l ac nes do not ave ntent onal ty-Mac nes ave syntax but no sel ant cs-



r ere s no energy a reed set of bas c/m types[ and ypertext systel s w c prov de pre spec f ed /m s ran e frol IBI wt e t /m types ntended for develop n ar ul entat on Ha asz and Com n[ to r = r EX r NEr /r <2. e ser[ wt over e] ty d fferent m types rest of m's c osen for t e experient was ntended to be s a enou to be ana ed by t e experiental subjects[ but ar e enou to cover t e i an types of conceptual relation for t e text types used n t e experient relation to cover t e nstructed to say dur n t e experient f t ever required any furt er m types[ and at t e end eac subject was as red to su est furt er m types w c t evient taxe found useful. No subjects su ested /m's w c t evient taxe found useful eac of t e t ree peopre su ested two or i ore /m's r est were/under nest/context /co description exts.

#### 3.1.4 Design

A repeated ( easures des n was used[ w t eac sub ect produc n a ypertext for eac t e four texts<sup>-r</sup> e order of texts was counter ba anced<sup>-</sup>

#### 3.1.5 Procedure

Eac sub ect was s own a / st of / n/ types/and t e exper [ enter exp/a ned t e [ ean n of eac of t e / n/ types / r e sub ect was a so s own an exal p/e ypertext F ure - r e subject was t en ven t e set of cards contain n t e text c un s for t e f rst exal pre text[ as wer as t e text tset r r e text was ava / ab/e for reference dur n t e exper [ ent r r e sub ect was as ed to st cr t e cards onto a w teboard and to use a board [ arrien to draw n re/at ona / n/s Eac / n s ou'd ave an arrow nd cat n ts d rect on and a / abe c osen frof t e set of ava / ab/e / n/ types - r e sub ect was encoura ed to use w c ever strate y see[ ed natura to construct t e ypertext of e subjects p/aced a / t e cards on t e board and t en drew n t e / n/s ot ers added / n/s after p/ac n eac card ub ects were arowed as [ uc t f e as t ey w s ed to carry out t e tas / r e exper [ enter recorded t e / ayout of t e ypertext on paper as t e subject created t = ent e subject was satisfied t at t e ypertext was col prete t e exper [ enter rel oved t frol t e board and ave t e subject t e next set of cards - r e exper [ enter rel oved t frol t e board and ave t e subject t e next set of cards - r e exper [ enter rel oved t frol t e board and ave t e subject t e next set of cards - r e exper [ enter rel oved t frol t e board and ave t e subject t e next set of cards - r e exper [ enter rel oved t frol t e board and ave t e subject t e next set of cards - r e exper [ enter rel oved t frol t e board and ave t e subject t e next set of cards - r e exper [ enter rel oved t frol t e board and ave t e subject t e next set of cards - r e exper [ enter rel oved t frol t e board and ave t e subject t e next set of cards - r e exper [ enter rel oved t frol t e board and ave t e subject t e next set of cards - r e exper [ enter rel oved t frol t e board and ave t e subject t e next set of cards - r e exper [ enter exper [ enter

	Hillclimbing Labelled				Best First Labelled					Hillclimbing Unlabelled					Best First Unlabelled					
	seq	crtip	cau	des	mn	seq	crhp	cau	des	mn	seq	cmp	cau	des	mn	seq	cmp	cau	des	mn
S S		<u>छ</u> 4		4		4	4		रू 4	स . स		ł		₽ 4	ं क्यं	4	₽ 4	4	Ŗ	4. <sub>5</sub>
5 S <b>4</b> S		₽ <b>4</b>		₽ 4	. ह . ह	4	<b>R</b> 4	4		स		ł		4	रा र स	4	ł		4	रू. रू.
s S		4		4		4	4	·	4 ∡	'म ' म				4	લ્યું.	4	4			∡
S S		4		4	ं ह 	4	ł		मु	स स		4		4	جد : جا :	5 4	ł		দ্ব দ্ব 4	ي. بدر
s s					स्र		4		দ্ব										4	
s				F	ं म्र .स				F	ं <del>हु</del>				5		1.5			5	
MN	. <u>.</u>	.4	• <sub>5</sub>	з	3	. <sub>5</sub>	.4		3			·٣		3	ت	.4	.4	· <del>.</del>		

Table 2. The evaluator's scores for the linearised text produced by the algorithms.

 $L Fd_{2} r'$  surpor Fc vec extnatuns of t stys e ndescr pt vel styp a newspaper report

 $-p^{\prime}$  -  $p^{\prime}$  - -At t e or na texts were rated by t e evaluator  $r^{\prime}$  e est score for any of t e near sat on a or t [s s, -, -, -] for t e best first a or t [app] ed to t e habe/red Description ypertext  $-r^{\prime}$  e rando hypordered texts were a rated  $-r^{\prime}$  e howest score for any of t e a or t [s s, -, -] for t e hor [b n a ] or t [app] ed to t e un abe/red equence ypertext -

 $p^{r}$  e | ean score for t e best f rst a or t | app ed to t e ypertexts w t / abe/ed / n s s  $p^{r}$  [ and t e correspond n | ean score for t e //c | b n a or t | s! - -A. / coxon test N ! [ ad usted for t es s ows t e d fference between t e a or t | s to be s n f cant at  $p^{t}$  - one ta /ed -

 $f^{r}$  e scores for best f rst a or t ( app ed to t e ypertexts/w t t e abered n/s  $10^{-1}$ and to t e ypertexts w t t e n/s values refored  $10^{-1}$  are at ost dent ca

# 4.3 Discussion

 $f^{r}$  e ood correction between t e rat n s of t e u an evaluator and t e least cost restoration scores indicates t at colliption t e restoration distance of a linear sed text froin t e or inal text is a useful eans of leasuring t e effect veness of linear sation and could provide a colliparative test of new linear sation all or t list score t is could be applied to t e ypertexts used in this experiment and the linear sations could be reliably collipared wit t ose of t e two all or t is tested liere.

As expected[t e best f rst a or t | was s n f canty | ore effect ve at / near s n t e ypertexts t an t e //c<sup>-1</sup> b n a or t | [and t e | ean score of  $\sqrt{2}$  above t e/sol ew at or an sed[ sol ew at d sor an sed=/evel su / ests t at t produced texts w t suff c ent or an sat on to be useful as f rst drafts<sup>-/r</sup> e / ow score of  $\sqrt{2}$  for t e Causat on ypertext su ests t at autol at c / near sat on | ay be / ess useful for ypertexts w c conta n a nul ber of d st nct[ but re ated[ top cs<sup>-</sup>One approac | ay be to roup t e nodes nto top cs by and and t en apply a vers on of t e a or t | w c / eeps to et er text on t e sal e top c<sup>-</sup>

r e unexpected f nd n was t at ref ov n nfor at on about find types d d not after t e effect veness of t e finear sat on r ere a nul ber of poss ble explanations for t is result r e subjects (find any not ave ad enou practice n creat n ypertexts to be able to put appropriate abels to t e finds] or t erran e of find types (find any not be adequate to indicate t e conceptual finds in t e text] or t e affort if (find) any not be adequate to indicate t e conceptual finds in t e text] or t e affort if (find) and the adequate to indicate t e conceptual finds in t e text] or t e affort if (find) any not be adequated to indicate t e conceptual finds in t e text] or t e affort if (find) any not be adequated to indicate t e conceptual finds in t e text] or t e affort if (find) any not have a dequate to indicate t e conceptual finds in t e text] or t e affort if (find) any not be adequate to indicate t e conceptual find) and the text is a cont if (find) any not is a set of the text explanation of t e find) any not the results produced by t e collect evaluation of t e find ere texts as input to a fearm n affort if w c deter in the text explanation by find affort in the text evaluation of t e find ere texts as input to a fearm n affort if w c deter in the text evaluation of t e find ere texts as input to a fearm n affort if w c deter indicate t e opt if a print type if a pr

In t s experient t e subjects created ypertexts from publics ed mear texts by applyin t e r stars of reading color presents on to l at e explore t e referent a find s el bedded in t e text f'' e experient l easured ow effective t e mear sation affort l s are in select n in t s and travers n t el in an appropriate order But creat n a supertext as part of writin s not ou te t e salle activity A writer[in producing a notes network] s for owing trans of l ental association[withing textual cues for indicate and a supertext produced during the writing process l and l ave in this indication deep conceptual relations[ration relations] and l ave in the line of the second experilement entities to spose b for the line of the line of the second experilement to the second experilement of the second experilement of the second experilement entities to spose b for the second experilement entities to spose b for the second experilement entities to spose b for the second experilement entities to the second experilement entities the second experiment entities the second experiment

#### 4.4 Experiment 2

### 4.4.1 Rationale

r e a l of t e exper l ent s to test t e a or t l s on ypertext notes networks enerated as part of a wrtn act v ty-It d ffers frol exper l ent one n t at t e subjects are enerat n t e rown ypertexts on a ventop  $c^{-1}r$  e assulpt on st at t e ypertext acts as a l eans of /externals n conton a ventop t e writer to represent a pattern of l ental associations between top c tel s<sup>-1</sup>r e two near sation a or t l s were colpared a anst ul an near sation carried out by t e aut ors of t e ypertexts [ and a randol order n of nodes 7 r e near sations were scored by two ul an assessors on a five point scale for textual or an sation-

Hypothesis 1: r e and near sed texts w ave er rat n s t an t e best et od of auto at c near sat on Hypothesis 2: A t e auto at cary near sed texts w ave er rat n s t an t e

rando<sup>()</sup> order n s<sup>-</sup> Hypothesis 3: <sup>(r)</sup> e best f rst a<sup>()</sup> or t<sup>()</sup> wt<sup>()</sup> abe<sup>()</sup> ed<sup>()</sup> nt<sup>()</sup>s<sup>()</sup> w<sup>()</sup> produce er rat n s t an t e <sup>()</sup> t<sup>()</sup> b n a<sup>()</sup> or t<sup>()</sup> wt<sup>()</sup> abe<sup>()</sup> ed<sup>()</sup> nt<sup>()</sup>s<sup>()</sup> w<sup>()</sup> produce er rat n s t an Hypothesis 4: <sup>(r)</sup> e best f rst a<sup>()</sup> or t<sup>()</sup> wt<sup>()</sup> abe<sup>()</sup> ed<sup>()</sup> nt<sup>()</sup>s<sup>()</sup> w<sup>()</sup> produce er rat n s t an

t e best f rst a or t ( wt no n nfor at on -

## 4.4.2 Subjects

r e sub ects were t e sal e as for exper ent one-

### 4.4.3 Materials

r e sub ects were so went e sal e card of r types as for experient one-Eac sub ect/was ven a stock of brank c x c free cards on w c to write t e text r e i ater ars for creat n t e ypertexts were as for experient one-

## 4.4.4 Design

Eac sub ect produced one ypertext-

# 4.4.5 Procedure

r e sub ects were ven a st of t ree top cs and as ed to c oose one top c on w c t ev would create a ypertext r e top cs were/How to c oose a sul er o day / oud I ser y car and cyce to word and/r errore of Br tan n Europe=Four of t e sub ects c ose t e Ho day top c[ seven c ose t e B cycre top c[ and one c ose t e Europe top c<sup>-</sup>

Eac subject was ven a stock of twenty b and f e cards and was as red to enerate s ort sentences on t e top c[ wrtn eac sentence on a separate card r e subject was as red to

by the subjects= and mean sation were randol by ordered and ven to the two independent evaluators free evaluators arrived the texts us not the sale of velocity two scales as not experdent. For out of the interstitient of the scale of the scale of the points of the scale of the

## 4.5 Results of Experiment 2

	Hillclimbing Labelled		Best First Labelled		Hillclimbing Unlabelled		Best First Unlabelled		Random		Ha Linea	und urised
	Eval	Eval	Eval	Eval	Eval	Eval	Eval	Eval	Eval	Eval	Eval	Eval
s	4		4		ᆔ	4	4	4	4		ᆔ	4
s	4	4	4		5		3				4	4
S		4	4			4					ম	দ
S <b>4</b>	4	4		4	4	4		4			ম	4
s <u>,</u>	4				4						4	
s	4		ম		4		4	4			4	4
S			Ů								4	4
s			4	দ্ব			4	দ্ব			দ্ব	দ্ব
s				-							দ্ব	4
s	4	4		4		4		4			দ্ব	দ্ব
S		4	দ	4	4	4	4	5			দ	দ্ব
S			-			4	4	4			4	, L
Mean		•	मु		.4			मु	मु		4. <sub>5</sub>	4.
Mean				4			4		.4		<b>4.4</b>	

## Table 4. Scores of the two evaluators for the linearised texts.



Figure 8. Mean scores for the linearised texts

## 4.6 Discussion

Bot a ort [ s produce near sat ons [ n t e ran e between / so[ ew at or an sed so[ ew ] at d sor an sed=and/acceptably or an sed=It nd cates t at auto[ at c near sat on could prov de a useful br d e between an deas or an ser and a text ed tor as part of a wrt n envron[ ent $-f^{r}$  e near text[ ay we need to be ed ted[ but t e a ort [ s[ t

reverse d rect on for exal p/e[t e/causes=/n/ would be ven a prorty for ts reverse d rect on correspond n to t e//s caused by=relation -/r s was t e favoured i et od[but t was not i p/e ented due to a /acr of ev dence to u de t e c o ce of / n/ we ts<sup>-</sup>

## 6. Conclusions

- e ave descr bed a robust eneral a or t [ for notes netword near saton w c as been [ p.e ented as part of a wrt n env ron ent w c col b nes an deas or an ser w t a docu ent ed tor r e exper ents su est t at t e best f rst near saton a or t [ s acceptable for creat n a f rst draft of a near text frol a notes netword but t at furt er word s needed to [ are ood use of t e n n for at on to u de near saton and to evaluate t e use of t e a or t [ as part of a wrt n env ron ent -

# References

Boden[ M-The Creative Mind: Myths and Mechanisms- London ... e denferd and<br/>N corson-N corson-Boter[ J-D f Joyce[ M-and f t [ J-B-!Storyspace: Hypertext Writing Environment.